

Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität

Sehr geehrte Frau  
Prof. Dr Heather Hofmeister (PERSÖNLICH)

## Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrte Frau Prof. Dr Hofmeister,

Sie erhalten hier die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung der Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation zur Veranstaltung Sociology of Work and Gender

Die Rückmeldung soll Ihnen Hinweise geben, wie die Studierenden Ihre Veranstaltung wahrnehmen und Ihnen Anregungen für Veränderungen aufzeigen.

Im Auswertungsbericht werden die Mittelwerte, Standardabweichungen und Anzahl der Nennungen aller einzelnen Fragen aufgelistet.


Bei Rückfragen steht Ihnen Herr Dr. Tillmann zur Verfügung (E-Mail: [A.Tillmann@em.uni-frankfurt.de](mailto:A.Tillmann@em.uni-frankfurt.de) oder Telefon: 069-798-24618).

# Prof. Dr Heather Hofmeister

Sociology of Work and Gender (7842)  
Erfasste Fragebögen = 25

## Globalwerte

1. Statements about the course (including preparation and postprocessing)



mw=5.5  
s=0.7

2. Further statements about the course




mw=5.5  
s=0.6

## Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

## Legende

Fragestext




n=Anzahl  
mw=Mittelwert  
s=Std.-Abw.  
E.=Enthaltung

## 1. Statements about the course (including preparation and postprocessing)

1.1) Attending the course leads to a noticeable increase in knowledge.

does not apply




does apply

n=24  
mw=5.1  
s=0.8

1.2) The contents of the course are well structured.

does not apply




does apply

n=23  
mw=5.3  
s=0.8

1.3) Adequate supporting materials and resources (handouts, literature, lecture notes, etc.) are offered for acquiring the contents of teaching.

does not apply




does apply

n=22  
mw=5.5  
s=0.7

1.4) The pace of the course is appropriate. (if necessary comment in 4.3)

does not apply




does apply

n=22  
mw=5.5  
s=0.9

1.5) Difficult contents are explained in a comprehensible way.

does not apply




does apply

n=24  
mw=5.6  
s=0.7

1.6) The course teacher responds appropriately to the questions from the audience.

does not apply



does apply

n=23  
mw=5.8  
s=0.4

1.7) Media (blackboard, overhead screens, Powerpoint presentations, etc.) are used adequately.	does not apply		does apply	n=24 mw=5.8 s=0.4
1.8) A good overview of the subject matters is provided.	does not apply		does apply	n=24 mw=5.4 s=0.9
1.9) The course shows a clearly visible and coherent line of thought ("Red Thread").	does not apply		does apply	n=22 mw=5 s=0.9
1.10) Current issues are appropriately integrated into the course.	does not apply		does apply	n=22 mw=5.5 s=0.8
1.11) The self-contained and active engagement with the contents of teaching is encouraged by the course.	does not apply		does apply	n=23 mw=5.2 s=0.8
1.12) The atmosphere in the course is positive and constructive.	does not apply		does apply	n=22 mw=5.9 s=0.5


2. Further statements about the course

2.1) I attend the course regularly.	does not apply		does apply	n=23 mw=5.8 s=0.5
Please turn over!				
2.2) The course room is suitably sized .	does not apply		does apply	n=22 mw=5.5 s=0.9
2.3) The course offers enough practical components.	does not apply		does apply	n=23 mw=5.2 s=0.7
2.4) The course teacher pleases with presentation skill and rhetoric.	does not apply		does apply	n=23 mw=5.7 s=0.6
2.5) All in all with this course I am:				

satisfied  42.9% n=21  
 highly satisfied  57.1%

### 3. Demographic information

3.1) Gender:




3.2) Age:



3.3) Number of semester in major study program?




3.4) Major study program?



### 4. Further information

4.1) I attend the course (you may select several options):



© Copyright J.W. Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main, Prof. Dr. H. Moosbrugger, Prof. Dr. D. Krömker

# Profillinie

Teilbereich: Gesellschaftswissenschaften  
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr Heather Hofmeister  
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Sociology of Work and Gender  
 (Name der Umfrage)

## 1. Statements about the course (including preparation and postprocessing)

1.1) Attending the course leads to a noticeable increase in knowledge.	does not apply							does apply	n=24 mw=5.1
1.2) The contents of the course are well structured.	does not apply							does apply	n=23 mw=5.3
1.3) Adequate supporting materials and resources (handouts, literature, lecture notes, etc.) are offered for acquiring the contents of teaching.	does not apply							does apply	n=22 mw=5.5
1.4) The pace of the course is appropriate. (if necessary comment in 4.3)	does not apply							does apply	n=22 mw=5.5
1.5) Difficult contents are explained in a comprehensible way.	does not apply							does apply	n=24 mw=5.6
1.6) The course teacher responds appropriately to the questions from the audience.	does not apply							does apply	n=23 mw=5.8
1.7) Media (blackboard, overhead screens, Powerpoint presentations, etc.) are used adequately.	does not apply							does apply	n=24 mw=5.8
1.8) A good overview of the subject matters is provided.	does not apply							does apply	n=24 mw=5.4
1.9) The course shows a clearly visible and coherent line of thought ("Red Thread").	does not apply							does apply	n=22 mw=5
1.10) Current issues are appropriately integrated into the course.	does not apply							does apply	n=22 mw=5.5
1.11) The self-contained and active engagement with the contents of teaching is encouraged by the course.	does not apply							does apply	n=23 mw=5.2
1.12) The atmosphere in the course is positive and constructive.	does not apply							does apply	n=22 mw=5.9

## 2. Further statements about the course

2.1) I attend the course regularly.	does not apply							does apply	n=23 mw=5.8
2.2) The course room is suitably sized .	does not apply							does apply	n=22 mw=5.5
2.3) The course offers enough practical components.	does not apply							does apply	n=23 mw=5.2
2.4) The course teacher pleases with presentation skill and rhetoric.	does not apply							does apply	n=23 mw=5.7

## Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

## 4. Further information

4.2) In my opinion the strengths of the course are:

PROF. HOFMEISTER AND HER WAY OF EXTRAORDINARY TEACHING!  
THE COMPARISON BETWEEN AMERICA AND EUROPE

Active involvement of students in the discussion

Eine wirkliche Auswertung wäre nötig,  
anstatt dieser standardisierten Kundenbefragung



It is in English \*

- many informations about events which are related to the subject
- good course teacher
- nice presentation

Good structure & nice teacher, ~~prof~~ my English

good knowledge of the professor and  
adequate explanations

comprehensible explanations of all themes  
good structure + concept

~~the English that~~ that the seminar is lead by a native  
speaker  
- different style of teaching   better

The design of the course is not too ~~str~~ strict and allowed us to response to developments which took place at the moment.

#### THE CONTENTS

Course is in English, a rare offer of the university, it offers diversity and pluralism in choice of courses for students.  
I like it alot.

- the great teacher  
- language



4.3) In my opinion the lecture could be improved by:

THE ROOM NOW IS SUITABLE FOR US, BUT THE ONE BEFORE WAS HORRIBLE.

- the topic is not as interested as I thought  
# (in general)

more theory, everything is a bit vague...



Even though there were many 1st semesters I think we should have covered things more deeply

